

Stakeholder Management

Kaden E. Salazar

Colorado State University

Week 5 Case Study Assignment 4

CIS 563: Information Assurance and Security

Dr. Charles Butler

September 28, 2025

Organizations operate within networks of parties that influence and are influenced by corporate decisions. Stakeholders include internal groups such as employees and managers as well as external groups such as customers, suppliers, shareholders, communities, and regulators, each with distinct interests that shape organizational performance (ACCA Global, n.d.). Contemporary management increasingly recognizes that long-term success depends on addressing these interdependent interests rather than optimizing a single financial metric in isolation (McCance, 2024). The Body Shop exemplifies this perspective by explicitly committing to “profit with principles” and by auditing its social, environmental, and animal-protection performance alongside financial results, thereby integrating stakeholder expectations into strategy and reporting (Business Case Studies, 2019; Sillanpää, 1998).

Key issues

Stakeholders matter because their expectations affect an organization’s license to operate, access to resources, and resilience during change. Engaged employees improve execution and innovation, informed customers reward credible brands, reliable suppliers support quality and continuity, and supportive communities enable growth and legitimacy (ACCA Global, n.d.; IMD, 2024). Conversely, neglected stakeholders can create operational and reputational risk through attrition, boycotts, supply disruption, or community opposition (IMD, 2024; Vogwell, 2003).

Tensions arise because stakeholder priorities do not always align. Employees may emphasize wages, well-being, and development, franchisees may emphasize unit profitability and autonomy, customers may expect ethical sourcing, product safety, and value, suppliers may need fair terms and predictability, communities may expect jobs and environmental stewardship, and shareholders monitor value creation and risk (Business Case Studies, 2019; ACCA Global, n.d.). Managers therefore face trade-offs that require prioritization and transparent justification, especially when short-term financial pressures collide with longer-term social or environmental commitments (Sillanpää, 1998). In project

settings, unmanaged stakeholder expectations create change pressure, scope volatility, and delays, while thoughtful engagement improves alignment and outcomes.

Managing Stakeholders

Identify and map stakeholders

Effective management begins with a disciplined process to identify stakeholders and assess their relative power and interest so leaders can allocate attention where it has the greatest effect (ACCA Global, n.d.; Vogwell, 2003). Mapping reveals who must be closely managed, who should be kept satisfied or informed, and where emerging risks are likely to appear if interest or influence shifts (ACCA Global, n.d.).

Understand needs and success criteria

Managers should surface stakeholders' objectives and concerns using interviews, surveys, forums, and structured listening so that performance goals reflect both organizational and stakeholder success criteria (IMD, 2024). Clear understanding reduces surprises and helps set realistic expectations.

Embed stakeholder considerations in strategy and policy

Stakeholder focus should be evident in governance, standards, and operating policies rather than treated as a peripheral initiative. The Body Shop's Trading Charter and programmatic stance on environmental management and animal protection illustrate how explicit policies clarify priorities and guide daily decisions across the value chain (Business Case Studies, 2019; Sillanpää, 1998).

Engage through transparent communication and collaboration

Regular, honest communication builds credibility and reduces rumor-driven conflict. Co-creating solutions with stakeholders increases buy-in and surfaces constraints early enough to adjust plans at lower cost (IMD, 2024). IMD highlights practical behaviors that strengthen relationships, including listening actively, demonstrating

empathy, communicating clearly about goals and constraints, and following up consistently so concerns are closed rather than allowed to linger (IMD, 2024).

Monitor, audit, and learn

Continuous measurement, independent verification where appropriate, and public reporting keep promises visible and drive improvement. The Body Shop's use of social, environmental, and animal-protection audits, paired with stakeholder dialogue and action plans, is an early example of feedback-driven governance that goes beyond compliance (Business Case Studies, 2019; Sillanpää, 1998).

Conclusion

Stakeholders are central to organizational performance because they provide the talent, revenue, resources, legitimacy, and support required for strategies to succeed. Managing these relationships deliberately improves resilience, reduces risk, and aligns the enterprise with social expectations that shape market and regulatory. The Body Shop shows how formal stakeholder auditing, independent verification, and transparent reporting can convert stakeholder expectations into practical governance and operational improvements that reinforce brand credibility and long-term value creation (Business Case Studies, 2019; Sillanpää, 1998). While trade-offs are inevitable, organizations that identify stakeholders clearly, engage them thoughtfully, balance interests with fair processes, and learn through measurement and audit are better positioned to deliver sustainable results across financial, social, and environmental dimensions.

References

ACCA Global. (n.d.). *All about stakeholders - part 1*. ACCA Global.

<https://www.accaglobal.com/us/en/student/exam-support-resources/professional-exams-study-resources/strategic-business-leader/technical-articles/all-about-stakeholders-part-1.html>

Business Case Studies. (2019, September 26). *The Body Shop approach to stakeholder auditing – Business Case Studies*. Business Case Studies; Business Case Studies.

<https://businesscasestudies.co.uk/the-body-shop-approach-to-stakeholder-auditing/>

IMD. (2024, December 12). *7 strategies for effective stakeholder management*. IMD.

<https://www.imd.org/blog/governance/stakeholder-management/>

McCance, M. (2024, May 16). *Stakeholder: How Ed Freeman's Vision for Responsible Business Moved From Theory to Reality*. Darden Report Online; University of Virginia.

<https://news.darden.virginia.edu/2024/05/16/stakeholder-how-ed-freemans-vision-for-responsible-business-moved-from-theory-to-reality>

Sillanpää, M. (1998). The Body Shop Values Report – Towards Integrated Stakeholder Auditing. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 17(13), 1443–1456.

<https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1006099731105>

Vogwell, D. (2003). Stakeholder management. Project Management Institute.

<https://www.pmi.org/learning/library/stakeholder-management-task-project-success-7736>